Complexity, Information and Geometry (Module 1) Peyresque

Alfred Hero

Digiteo and University of Michigan

July, 2008

Outline of Module 1

Complexity

Coding complexity

2 Information

- Shannon entropy
- Rényi entropy

3 Entropy estimation

- Density estimation
- Entropy estimation in high dimensions

- Arvind Rao
- Kumar Sricharan
- Kevin Carter
- Olivier Michel, U. Nice

Complexity

What is complexity of a signal or image?



 $s_t: t \in \mathcal{S}$: a signal evolving over time $\mathcal{S}{=}[0, T]$ or space $\mathcal{S}=[0, T] imes [0, T]$

Peyresque08 (Module 1)

Two signals s_t - which is more complex?



4 c1j5b2p0cv4w18rx2y39umgw5q85s7urqbjfdppa0q7nieieqe9noc4cvafzf

The algorithmic complexity (or algorithmic complexity) of a string s is the length of its shortest description p on a universal Turing machine U

 $K(s) = \min\{l(p) : U(p) = s\}$

- AC satisfies chain rule $K(X, Y) = K(X) + K(Y|X) + O(\log(K(X, Y)))$
- However, while K(s) can always be bounded (|gzip s|), K(s) is not a computable function
- Algorithmic complexity captures the complexity of a single instance of a string.

< 由 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

An alternative is to try to capture complexity of an ensemble of strings or signals.

- \Rightarrow Information theoretic measures of complexity
- Introduced by Weaver, Shannon, Kolmogorov

Probability Model

 $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}, P)$: outcomes, events, probability function.

Sometimes it makes sense to assume a parameteric probability model:

 $P = P_{\theta}$ belongs to a family $\mathbf{P} = \{P_{\theta} : \theta \in \Theta\}.$

Distingush between discrete and continuous random variables

$$P(X \in B) = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{x \in B} p(x), & X \text{ discrete} \\ \int_{x \in B} f(x) dx & , X \text{ cts.} \end{bmatrix}$$

Expectation Operator: For any function Z = Z(x):

$$E_{\theta}[Z] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\text{supp} dP_{\theta}(\bullet)} Z(x) dP_{\theta}(x) = \int Z(x) f_{\theta}(x) dx$$

High Entropy Feature Density



Low Entropy Feature Density



Mixture Feature Density



Information: Shannon Entropy

Shannon entropy for a discrete r.v. X with pmf p(x)

$$H(X) = H(p) = -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) \log p(x) = E\left[\log \frac{1}{p(X)}\right]$$

Shannon entropy for a continuous r.v. X with pdf f(x)

$$H(X) = H(f) = -\int f(x)\log f(x)dx = E\left[\log \frac{1}{f(X)}\right]$$

Relative entropy

$$D(f||g) = \int f(x) \log \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} dx$$

12 / 80

The relative entropy, also called the information (Kullback-Liebler) divergence of pdf's f and g is non-negative A. Hero (Digiteo and Univ. Michigan) Peyresque08 (Module 1) July, 2008

Conditional entropy and mutual information

Important cases of relative entropy

Conditional entropy between r.v.s X and Y

$$H(Y|X) = -\int f(x,y) \log \frac{f(x,y)}{f(x)} dx dy = -E[\log f(Y|X)]$$

Mutual information between r.v.s X and Y

$$I(X;Y) = \int f(x,y) \log \frac{f(x,y)}{f(x)f(y)} dxdy$$

Relation

$$I(X, Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X) = H(X) - H(X|Y)$$

Some simple properties of discrete Shannon Entropy

Non-negativity

$$H(X) \ge 0$$
, ; "=" iff $\exists \mu : f(x) = \delta(x - \mu)$

 μ fixed

Concavity

$$H(\epsilon f + (1 - \epsilon)g) \leq \epsilon H(f) + (1 - \epsilon)H(g), \quad " = " \textit{ iff } f = g \textit{ or } \epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$$

Chain rule

$$H([X, Y]) = H(X) + H(Y|X) = H(Y) + H(X|Y)$$

• Sub-additivity

$$H([X, Y]) \le H(X) + H(Y), \quad " = " \text{ iff } f(X, Y) = f(X)f(Y)$$

Continuous Shannon entropy satisfies all but the first property.

A. Hero (Digiteo and Univ. Michigan)

• If X is discrete with finite alphabet $\mathcal{X} = \{x_1, \dots, x_Q\}$

$$H(X) \leq \log |\mathcal{X}| = \log Q, \quad " = " \quad iff \ p(x_i) = \frac{1}{Q} \ \forall i$$

- If X is continuous on $\mathcal{X}=\mathbb{R}$ with given finite variance $\operatorname{var}(X) = E[X^2] - E^2[X]$ $H(X) \leq \frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi\sigma^2), \quad " = " iff f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-\mu)^2}$
- For X in R^d with given finite covariance matrix Σ Shannon entropy is maximized by multivariate Gaussian density with given covariance.



Digital communication system (Gupta 2001)

Let X be a discrete random variable with finite alphabet $\mathcal{X} = \{a_1, \ldots, a_Q\}$ where $Q = 2^n$.

For each a_i define binary codeword c_i of length l_i , e.g., $c_i = 010$, $l_i = 3$.

Average length of code is defined as

$$L = E[l_i] = \sum_{i=1}^{Q} p_i l_i$$

Enumerative encoding strategy (Coolen 2004)

message :	n - bit string:	corresponding number :
a_1	00000	0
a_2	$000 \dots 01$	1
a_3	$000 \dots 10$	2
a_4	$000 \dots 11$	3
:	-	
a_{2^n}	11111	$2^{n}-1$

- Codewords have identical lengths and $L = n = \log Q$
- $\log Q$ might be taken as a natural measure of complexity
- $H(X) = \log Q$ when $p_i = 1/Q$, i.e., symbols are equally likely to occur

Enumerative codewords are at leaves of the depth $\log Q$ tree



If symbols are not equally likely a better (lower average length) code can be obtained

Example (Coolen 2004)

$$\begin{array}{lll} A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\} & p(a_1) = \frac{1}{2}, & p(a_2) = \frac{1}{4}, & p(a_3) = \frac{1}{8}, & p(a_4) = \frac{1}{8} \\ \\ \text{message: enumerative code: } & \text{prefix code: } \\ a_1 & 00 & \ell(a_1) = 2 & 1 & \ell(a_1) = 1 \\ a_2 & 01 & \ell(a_2) = 2 & 01 & \ell(a_2) = 2 \\ a_3 & 10 & \ell(a_3) = 2 & 001 & \ell(a_3) = 3 \\ a_4 & 11 & \ell(a_4) = 2 & 000 & \ell(a_4) = 3 \end{array}$$

For this example: $L = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4}2 + \frac{1}{8}3 + \frac{1}{8}3 = 1.75$

Shannon entropy and lossless coding

This code is represented by a subtree of the enumerative code tree of depth $\ensuremath{4}$

Example (Coolen 2004)



Shannon entropy and lossless coding

Prefix code



This Shannon entropy coding strategy is due to Huffman [3] Codewords assigned to symbols $\{a_1, \ldots, a_Q\}$ in such a way that

 $2^{-l_i} = \mathrm{lub}\left(p_i\right)$

Huffman coding minimizes the average code length over all prefix codes. Fundamental result:

$$H(X) \leq L_{Huffman} \leq H(X) + 1$$

Conclude: Shannon entropy is average coding complexity for lossless encoding of discrete source \boldsymbol{X}

Rényi Entropy

Rényi entropy for a discrete r.v. X with pmf p(x) (here $\alpha > 0$)

$$H_{\alpha}(X) = H_{\alpha}(p) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p^{\alpha}(x) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} E\left[p^{\alpha-1}(X)\right]$$

Rényi entropy for a continuous r.v. X with pdf f(x)

$$H_{\alpha}(X) = H_{\alpha}(f) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log \int f^{\alpha}(x) dx = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} E\left[f^{\alpha-1}(X)\right]$$

Conditional Rényi entropy

$$H_{\alpha}(X|Y) = \int f_{Y}(y) \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log \int f_{X|Y}^{\alpha}(x|y) dx\right)}_{H_{\alpha}(X|Y=y)} dy$$

Some simple properties of Rényi Entropy

• Non-negativity (discrete X)

$$H_{lpha}(X) \geq 0, \;;\; "=" \; iff \; \exists \mu : f(x) = \delta(x-\mu)$$

 μ fixed

Concavity

$$\mathcal{H}_{lpha}(\epsilon f + (1 - \epsilon)g) \leq \epsilon \mathcal{H}(f) + (1 - \epsilon)\mathcal{H}(g), \quad " = " \textit{ iff } f = g \textit{ or } \epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$$

Sub-additivity

 $H_{\alpha}([X,Y]) \leq H_{\alpha}(X) + H_{\alpha}(Y), \quad " = " iff f(X,Y) = f(X)f(Y)$

• Monotonic decreasing in α

$$H_{\alpha+\Delta}(X) \leq H_{\alpha}(X), \ \Delta > 0$$

Unlike Shannon entropy Rényi entropy does not satisfy the chain rule

• If X is discrete with finite alphabet $\mathcal{X} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_Q\}$

$$H_{\alpha}(X) \leq \log |\mathcal{X}| = \log Q, \quad " = " \text{ iff } p(x_i) = \frac{1}{Q} \forall i$$

- If X is continous on X=ℝ with finite variance var(X) = E[X²] - E²[X] then H(X) is maximized by a student-t density w 1 degree of freedom and identical variance.
- For X in R^d with given finite covariance matrix Σ Rényi entropy is maximized by multivariate Student-t density with given covariance parameter (Vignat etal [7]).

• Shannon entropy limit

$$\lim_{\alpha\to 1}H_{\alpha}(X)=H(X)$$

• Equally likely entropy limit

$$\lim_{\alpha\to 0}H_{\alpha}(X)=\log Q$$

Rarest outcome limit

$$\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} H_{\alpha}(X) = \log \frac{1}{\min p(x)}$$

Rényi source encoding: "Source coding under siege"

Let X be a discrete random variable with finite alphabet $\mathcal{X} = \{a_1, \ldots, a_Q\}$ where $Q = 2^n$.

Baer (Thesis 2002) considers the average exponential length of code

$$C = E[2^{l_i}] = \sum_{i=1}^{Q} p_i 2^{l_i}$$

As compared to the standard avg codelength $E[l_i]$, C emphasizes the longer codewords. Ziad (Thesis 1998) proposes generalized average codeword length (t > 0)

$$L(t) = \frac{1}{t} \log \sum_{i=1}^{Q} p_i 2^{tl_i}$$

Properties of Ziad's measure:

$$\lim_{t\to 0} L(t) = E[I_i], \quad \lim_{t\to\infty} L(t) = \max I_i, \quad dL(t)/dt \ge 0$$

If assign codewords to symbols $\{a_1,\ldots,a_Q\}$ in such a way that

$$2^{-l_i} = \operatorname{lub}\left(\frac{p_i^{\alpha}}{\sum_{i=1}^{Q} p_i^{\alpha}}\right)$$

then

$$H_{1/(1+t)}(X) \le L(t) < H_{1/(1+t)}(X) + 1$$

NB: Baer (2007) has specified a modified Huffman prefix code construction that satisfies the assignment condition.

Multivariate extensions

Stationary sources

Defn: a *discrete (continuous)source* $\{X_i\}_{i=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is a random sequence with discrete (continuous) alphabet.

Joint distribution of a source is described by its joint distributions, e.g. for a discrete source

$$p(x_{-M},...,x_{M}), M = 1,2,...$$

A source is stationary if for any integers I and M

$$p(x_{l+1},\ldots,x_{l+M})=p(x_1,\ldots,x_M)$$

Two cases of stationary sources of interest

- i.i.d. source $p(x_1, ..., x_M) = \prod_{i=1}^M p(x_i)$
- First order Markov source $p(x_1, \ldots, x_M) = p(x_1) \prod_{i=2}^M p(x_i | x_{i-1})$

Shannon joint entropy

The joint entropy of an M segment of a stationary discrete source X_1, \ldots, X_M is

$$H(X_1,\ldots,X_M)=-\sum p(x_1,\ldots,x_M)\log p(x_1,\ldots,x_M)$$

Example: i.i.d. source

$$H(X_1,\ldots,X_M)=MH(X_1)$$

Example: stationary Markov source

$$H(X_1,...,X_M) = (M-1)H(X_2|X_1) + H(X_1)$$

These relations also hold for stationary continuous sources

 \Rightarrow Joint entropy diverges as $M \rightarrow \infty$

Shannon entropy rate

The Shannon entropy rate of a stationary source $\mathcal{X} = \{X_i\}$ is defined as

$$H(\mathcal{X}) = \lim_{M \to \infty} \frac{H(X_1, \dots, X_M)}{M}$$

Example: i.i.d. source with $P(X_1 = i) = p_i$

$$H(\mathcal{X}) = H(X_1) = -\sum_i p_i \log p_i$$

Example: stationary Markov source with $P(X_1 = i, X_2 = j) = p_{j|i}p_i$

$$H(\mathcal{X}) = H(X_2|X_1) = -\sum_{i,j} p_i p_{j|i} \log p_{j|i}$$

Shannon entropy rate

Alternative definition of entropy rate

$$H'(\mathcal{X}) = \lim_{M \to \infty} H(X_M | X_{M-1}, \dots, X_1)$$

Thm: $H(\mathcal{X}) = H'(\mathcal{X})$

Image: Image:

The Rényi entropy rate of a stationary source $\mathcal{X} = \{X_i\}$ is defined as

$$H_{lpha}(\mathcal{X}) = \lim_{M o \infty} rac{H_{lpha}(X_1, \dots, X_M)}{M}$$

Example: i.i.d. source with $P(X_1 = i) = p_i$

$$H_{lpha}(\mathcal{X}) = H_{lpha}(X_1) = rac{1}{1-lpha} \log \sum_i p_i^{lpha}$$

Multivariate extensions

Rényi entropy rate

For Markov sources the Rényi entropy rate is more complicated than in the case of Shannon's entropy rate

Thm (Ziad, 98): if \mathcal{X} is a discrete Markov source with finite alphabet and $p_{i|j} > 0$ for all i, j, Then

$$H_{lpha}(\mathcal{X}) = rac{\log \lambda(lpha, P)}{1 - lpha}$$

where $\lambda(\alpha, P)$ is the largest eigenvalue of the matrix

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} p_{1|1}^{\alpha} & p_{1|2}^{\alpha} & \dots & p_{1|A}^{\alpha} \\ p_{2|1}^{\alpha} & p_{2|2}^{\alpha} & \dots & p_{2|A}^{\alpha} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ p_{A|1}^{\alpha} & \dots & p_{A|A-1}^{\alpha} & p_{A|A}^{\alpha} \end{bmatrix}$$

Note, with previous definition of conditional Rényi entropy, it is not true that

$$H_{\alpha}(\mathcal{X}) = H'_{\alpha}(\mathcal{X}) = \lim_{M \to \infty} H(X_M | X_{M-1}, \dots, X_1)$$

However, for discrete finite alphabet stationary sources we could adopt the above as a *definition* of conditional Rényi entropy.
- Complexity of an ensemble X = average number of bits required to optimally encode X.
- Shannon entropy H(X) is optimal code length that minimizes redundancy
- Rényi entropy H_α(X) is optimal exponentiated code length that minimizes redundancy
- Rényi entropy $H_{\alpha}(X)$ increasingly sensitive to tail behavior of f(x) as α decreases to zero.

Lossy source coding Scalar quantization

Let X be a 1D source with continuous alphabet in \mathbb{R} . A N-level scalar quantizer is defined by a mapping $Q : \mathbb{R} \to \{x_1, \dots, x_N\} \subset \mathbb{R}$



Scalar quantizer of a 1D continuous source X with density q(x)

C is a "codebook consisting" of intervals cells S_i and quantization levels $S_i \sim C$ A. Hero (Digiteo and Univ. Michigan) Peyresque08 (Module 1) July, 2008 38 / 80

Lossy source coding Vector quantization

Let $X = [X_1, X_2]$ be a 2D source with continuous alphabet in \mathbb{R} . A *N*-level vector quantizer Q is defined similarly to before

$$Q(x) = x_i, x_i \in S_i$$



Product vector quantizer of a 2D continuous source X with density $q(x) = [q_0(x) + q_1(x)]/2$

A. Hero (Digiteo and Univ. Michigan)

Peyresque08 (Module 1)

July, 2008 39 / 80

Obvious observation: any finite-bit encoding of a continous source will necessarily entail some loss in information.

Quantization distortion measures for a given quantizer \boldsymbol{Q}

• Mean squared quantization error (MSQE)

$$MSQE = E[(X - Q(X))^{T}(X - Q(X))] = E[||X - Q(X)||^{2}]$$

• Increase in minimum probability of decision error (decide q_1 vs q_0)

$$P_e^Q = [P_0(I(Q(X)) > \eta) + P_1(I(Q(X)) < \eta)]/2$$

 $l(u) = q_1(u)/q_0(u)$ likelihood ratio

• Linear combinations of the above

Optimal MSQE quantizers produce equally likely codewords x_1, \ldots, x_N for given number of levels N (rate logN).



Optimal MSQE vector quantizer for uniform density $q(x) = [0, 1]^d$

Optimal MSQE quantizers produce equally likely codewords x_1, \ldots, x_N for given number of levels N (rate logN).



Optimal MSQE vector quantizer for density $q(x) = [q_0(x) + q_1(x)]/2$

Lossy source coding Rate distortion function

Shannon's rate distortion function: $R(D) = \min_{E[\rho(X, \hat{X})] \le D} I(X, Y)$



- R is monotonic non-increasing function of distortion D
- *R* is a theoretical limit (like channel capacity) and cannot generally be achieved exactly
- Practical high rate approximations to VQ can come close to limit

Lossy source coding High rate VQ

Let $X = [X_1, ..., X_d]$ be a *d*-dimensional continuous source with jpdf. $q(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Define $\{Q_N\}_{N=1,2,...}$ a sequence of *N*-level VQ's

Let the *i*-th cell of Q_N have the *cell volume*

$$V_i = \operatorname{vol}(S_i) = \int_{S_i} dx,$$

the piecewise constant point density function

$$\zeta(x) = \frac{1}{NV_i}, \text{ for } x \in S_i$$

and the specific inertial profile

$$m(x) = rac{\int_{\mathcal{S}_i} \|y - x_i\|^2 dy}{V_i^{1+2/d}}, \ ext{ for } x \in \mathcal{S}_i$$

Lossy source coding High rate VQ



Sequence of high rate VQs of 2D Gaussian source (N=250,500)

.

Lossy source coding High rate VQ

Assuming that Q_N converges we have the Bennett integral represention (Na and Neuhoff 1995)

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} N^{2/d} E[\|X - Q_N(X)\|^2] = \int \frac{q(x)m(x)}{\zeta^{2/d}(x)} dx$$

Proof:

I. Facts about spheres $S_i = S\left(\frac{x-x_i}{r}\right)$ centered at x_i of volume V_i in \mathbb{R}^d .

•
$$V_i = c_1 r^d$$
, i.e., $r = c_2 V_i^{1/d}$
• $\int_{S_i} (x - x_i) dx = 0$
• $\int_{S_i} ||x - x_i||^2 dx = c_3 V_i^{\frac{d+2}{d}}$

Lossy source coding Proof of Bennett's integral representationpf MSQE

II. Summation representation of MSQE for smooth q(x)

$$MSQE = \sum_{i} \int_{S_{i}} ||x - x_{i}||^{2} q(x) dx$$

$$\approx \sum_{i} q(x_{i}) \int_{S_{i}} ||x - x_{i}||^{2} dx$$

$$= \sum_{i} q(x_{i}) m(x_{i}) V_{i}^{\frac{d+2}{2}}, \qquad \left(m(x_{i}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\int_{S_{i}} ||x - x_{i}||^{2} dx}{V_{i}^{\frac{d+2}{2}}} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i} q(x_{i}) m(x_{i}) \frac{1}{(N\zeta(x_{i}))^{2/d}} V_{i}, \qquad \left(\zeta(x_{i}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{NV_{i}} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{N^{2/d}} \int \frac{q(x) m(x)}{\zeta(x)^{2/d}} dx$$

Recall Bennett's integral representation

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} N^{2/d} E[\|X - Q_N(X)\|^2] = \int \frac{q(x)m(x)}{\zeta^{2/d}(x)} dx$$

By Hölder's inequality or calculus of variations can easily show

$$\int \frac{q(x)m(x)}{\zeta^{2/d}(x)} dx \leq \left(\int [q(x)m(x)]^{\frac{d}{d+2}} dx\right)^{\frac{d+2}{d}}$$

with equality when "optimal point density" is used

$$\zeta(x) = \frac{[q(x)m(x)]^{\frac{d}{d+2}}}{\int [q(y)m(y)]^{\frac{d}{d+2}} dy}$$

Under Gersho's congruent cell hypothesis, $m(x) = m_d$ independent of x and we obtain Zador-Gersho formula

$$MSQE = \frac{m_d}{N^{2/d}} \left(\int q^{\frac{d}{d+2}}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{d+2}{d}}$$

A. Hero (Digiteo and Univ. Michigan)

Peyresque08 (Module 1)

Lossy source coding Zador-Gersho and Rényi entropy

Alternative form of Zador-Gersho formula: for fixed encoder complexity $\log N$

$$\frac{d}{2}\log(\text{MSQE}/m_d) = -\log N + \frac{1}{1-\alpha}\log\left(\int q^{\alpha}(x)dx\right) = -\log N + H_{\alpha}(X)$$
with $\alpha = \frac{d}{1-\alpha}$ or for fixed MSQE, the required encoder complexity is

with $\alpha = \frac{d}{d+2}$ or, for fixed MSQE, the required encoder complexity is $\log N = H_{\alpha}(X) - c$

Thus: Rényi entropy of source X controls the rate of decrease of the optimal lossy encoder distortion.

Conclude: Rényi entropy captures encoder complexity

- Discrete source: the depth of the lossless Huffman encoder
- Continuous source: the depth of lossy encoder with specified MSQE.

Side information and conditional Rényi encoding

Let Y be a random variable representing side information at encoder and decoder for compression of X and define q(x|y) the conditional distribution of X given Y.

Then the depth of the optimal encoder of X given side information Y = y is

Lossless "siege" encoder (Discrete sources with $|\mathcal{X}| = N$)

$$\log N = H_{\alpha}(X|Y = y)$$

where $\alpha = \frac{1}{1+t}$ and

$$H_{\alpha}(X|Y=y) = rac{1}{1-lpha}\log\sum q^{lpha}(x|y)$$

Lossy VQ encoder (Continuous sources encoded with N cell VQ)

$$\log N = H_{\alpha}(X|Y = y) - c$$

where $\alpha = \frac{d}{d+2}$ and

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

Average depth over \boldsymbol{Y} for these encoders proportional to conditional Rényi entropy, e.g.,

$$E[\log N] = H_{lpha}(X|Y) - c = \int q(y) \left(rac{1}{1-lpha} \log \int q^{lpha}(x|y) dx
ight) dy - c$$

Shannon limits of conditional Rényi encoding complexity:

- Lossless coding: as $t \to 0$ average complexity converges to discrete Shannon conditional entropy $H_1(X|Y) = H(X|Y)$
- Lossy coding: as $d \to \infty$ average complexity converges to cts Shannon conditional entropy $H_1(X|Y) = H(X|Y)$

Assume measurement X is a realization from a model density $f(X|\mathbf{Y})$ given parameter vector $\mathbf{Y} = Y_1, \dots, \mathbf{Y}_p$.

Let $\mathbf{X} = X_1, \dots, X_n$ be i.i.d. sample from $f(X|\mathbf{Y})$ for given \mathbf{Y}

Maximum likelihood estimator of **Y** given **X** maximizes the likelihood function $f(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y})$

$$\hat{\mathbf{Y}} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{y}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(X_i | \mathbf{y}) = \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{y}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln f(X_i | \mathbf{y})$$

When p is unknown one can try to jointly estimate \mathbf{Y}, p .

$$\hat{\mathbf{Y}}, \hat{p} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{y},p} \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(X_i | \mathbf{y}) = \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{y},p} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln f(X_i | \mathbf{y})$$

Problem: model overfitting - a sufficiently complex model $(p \ge n)$ can perfectly fit a finite data sample.

(A) Soln: Penalize the likelihood function for model overcomplexity (Rissanen, Wallace) [6],[8]

A lossy source coding derivation of Rissanen's Minimum Description Length penalty

Let $P(\mathbf{Y})$ be a prior distribution on the parameter vector. Assume each of the components of $\mathbf{Y} = [Y_1, \dots, Y_p]$ is

- continuous valued
- independent identically distributed (iid)

Then the joint complexity of the data and the model is

$$H([\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}]) = H(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}) + H(\mathbf{Y})$$

= $-E[\log f(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y})] - E[\log f(\mathbf{Y})]$
= $-\sum_{i=1}^{n} E[\log f(X_i|\mathbf{Y})] - \sum_{j=1}^{p} \underbrace{E[\log f(Y_j)]}_{-H(Y_i)}$

Rényi entropy and MLE with model selection MDL and Rényi encoder complexity

Assuming large n

$$H([\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}]) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log f(X_i | \mathbf{Y}) + \sum_{j=1}^{p} H(Y_i)$$

If discretize Y_i with an N bit quantizer then for N large

$$\log N = H_{lpha}(X) - c \geq H(X) - c$$

 $1/3 \ (d = 1)$

For quantization loss to be neglible relative to estimation loss: require MSQE on Y_i be of same order as minimum MSE of an optimal estimator of Y_i given **X**

$$O(N^{-1/2}) = \text{MSQE} = \text{MSEE} = O(n^{-1})$$

or $N = n^2$

 $\alpha =$

Rényi entropy and MLE with model selection MDL via Rényi encoder complexity

Obtain for large n

$$H([\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}]) \leq -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log f(X_i|\mathbf{Y}) + 2p \log n$$

When right hand side is minimized over \mathbf{Y} , p obtain equivalent estimator to Rissanen's MDL penalized MLE.

Entropy estimation

Let h(f) be defined as a functional of f for given function ϕ

$$h(f) = \int \phi(f(x)) dx$$

Example, $\phi(f) = f^{\alpha}/(1-\alpha)$

$$h(f) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \int f^{\alpha}(x) dx$$

Question: how to estimate h from empirical data?

Two methods to be discussed here

Explicit density plug-in estimator

$$\hat{h} = h(\hat{f}), \quad \hat{f} = \hat{f}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$$

Estimation without explicit plug-in •

$$\hat{h} = \hat{h}(X_1, \dots, X_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \text$$

A. Hero (Digiteo and Univ. Michigan)

Peyresque08 (Module 1)

Entropy estimation in high dimensions Some peculiarities of high dimensional data (Theorem)

Let $X = [x_1, \dots, x_d]$ be a random vector uniformly distrbuted in unit cube $[0, 1]^d$

Theorem: for any $\epsilon > 0$

$$P(\epsilon \leq x_i \leq 1 - \epsilon, \ \forall \ i) \leq e^{-2\epsilon d}$$

Thus, as $d \to \infty$, X escapes to the "edge" of cube with overwhelming probability - even though X uniform!



Using the i.i.d. property of components of X

$$\begin{aligned} P(\epsilon \leq x_i \leq 1 - \epsilon, \ \forall \ i) &= \prod_{i=1}^d P(\epsilon \leq x_i \leq 1 - \epsilon) \\ &= (1 - 2\epsilon)^d \\ &= \exp(d\log(1 - 2\epsilon)) \\ &\leq \exp(-2\epsilon d) \qquad (\log(1 + t) \leq t) \end{aligned}$$

Assume X_1, \ldots, X_n are i.i.d. source symbols uniformly distributed in unit cube $[0, 1]^d$.

Theorem: for any 0 < r < 1

$$P(\min_{j\neq i} ||X_i - X_j|| > r) = (1 - V_d r^d)^{n-1}$$

Thus, as $d \to \infty$ nearest neighbor distances are greater than $1 - \epsilon$ with overwhelming probability.

 \Rightarrow the samples X_i become increasingly isolated near the boundaries of $[0, 1]^d$!

Entropy estimation in high dimensions Some peculiarities of high dimensional data (Proof)

$$P(\min_{j \neq i} ||X_i - X_j|| > r) = \int P(\min_{j \neq i} ||X_i - X_j|| > r|X_i) f(X_i) dX_i$$

= $\int P^{n-1}(||X_i - X_j|| > r|X_i) f(X_i) dX_i$
= $\int (1 - V_d r^d)^{n-1} f(X_i) dX_i$
= $(1 - V_d r^d)^{n-1}$

Image: Image:

For a sample of n i.i.d. realizations from a d-dimensional uniform density over $[0,1]^d$

- As dimension *d* increases almost all realizations cluster near boundaries of cube
- This phenomenon is due to the increased likelihood of a large deviation in one of components of an X_i.
- Similar phenomenon occurs for non-uniform density supported on [0, 1]^d.
- Difficult to discriminate between densities differing near the mean but having similar tails.

These pecularities are not mere artifacts for uniform density $f(x) = I_{[0,1]^d}(x)$.

Example: X_1, \ldots, X_n i.i.d. with standard d-variate normal Gaussian density. Then (Marron 2008)

||X_i|| = √d + O(1): samples lie on surface of sphere of fixed radius
||X_i - X_j|| = √2d + O(1): samples become increasing seperated
cos⁻¹ (X_i^TX_j)/(||X_i||||X_j||) = 90° + O(1/√d): samples become pairwise equidistant and orthogonal

Entropy estimation in high dimensions

Some peculiarities of high dimensional data

Examples (Marron 2008)



Conclude: Density estimation will become difficult as *a*, increases,

A. Hero (Digiteo and Univ. Michigan)

Peyresque08 (Module 1)

July, 2008 64 / 80

Entropy estimation in high dimensions

Some peculiarities of high dimensional data

Examples (Marron 2008)



Conclude: Density estimation will become difficult as *d* increases.

A. Hero (Digiteo and Univ. Michigan)

Peyresque08 (Module 1)

July, 2008 64 / 80

How to estimate the density f(x) of a source X?

Some proposed methods

- Parameteric density estimators
- Histogram estimators
- kNN density estimators
- Kernel density estimators

There exists much theory on density estimation that has been applied to optimize and compare performance Devroye and Lugosi 2001 [1], Devroye 1987 [2], Marron and Hall and Hu [5].

Assume i.i.d. observations: X_1, \ldots, X_n over \mathbb{R}^d Generating density: $X\tilde{f}, f : \mathbb{R}^d \to [0, \infty)$ Function class: $f \in \mathcal{F}$ is restricted to be smooth

A density estimator \hat{f} is a function on \mathbb{R}^d indexed by the sample

$$\hat{f}(x) = \hat{f}(x; X_1, \ldots, X_n), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

Density estimation

Parametric density estimation

Assume that density class $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\Theta} = \{g_{\theta} : \theta \in \Theta\}$ is a family of functions parameterized by a small number of parameters $\theta = [\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p]$.

Parametric $\hat{\theta}$ estimator of θ provides plug-in estimator of density

$$\hat{f}(x) = g_{\hat{\theta}}(x)$$

Most common approach: maximum likelihood parameter estimator

$$\hat{\theta} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta \in \Theta} \prod_{i=1}^{n} g_{\theta}(X_i) = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log g_{\theta}(X_i)$$

Properties of MLE for finite dimensional smooth densities

- Strong consistency: $\hat{\theta} \rightarrow \theta$ (w.p.1)
- Asymptotic unbiasedness: $E_{\theta}[\hat{\theta}] \rightarrow \theta$
- Minimum asymptotic covariance: $\operatorname{cov}_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{F}_{\theta} = \frac{1}{n} E_{\theta} [-\nabla^2 \log f_{\theta}(X_1)]$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

If $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\Theta}$ then parametric density estimator has many desirable properties inherited from finite dimensional MLE $\hat{\theta}$ (Ibragimov and Hasminkii [4]) For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

• $\hat{f} \rightarrow f(x) = g_{\theta}(x)$ (w.p.1)

- $E[\hat{f}(x)] \rightarrow f(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, estimator is asymptotically unbiased
- $\operatorname{var}(\widehat{f}(x)) = O(1/n)$ for large n
- MSE decreases at rate 1/n

$$E[(\hat{f}(x) - f(x))^2] = \operatorname{var}(\hat{f}(x)) + (E[\hat{f}(x)] - f(x))^2 = O(1/n)$$

Equivalently:

$$\sqrt{E[(\hat{f}(x)-f(x))^2]}=O(1/\sqrt{n})$$

and we say that the density estimator MSE has "root-n consistency"

It is more customary to use the mean integrated squared error to measure performance of a density estimator

$$\mathrm{MISE} = \int E[(\hat{f}(x) - f(x))^2] dx$$

When f has bounded support, these properties guarantee that MISE also has root-n consistency

If $f \notin \mathcal{F}_{\Theta}$ then parametric density estimator is not consistent (Ibragimov and Hasminkii [4])

For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

•
$$\hat{f} \to g_{\theta_o} \neq f(x)$$
 (w.p.1), where $\theta_o = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta \in \Theta} D(f || f_{\theta})$.

- $E[\hat{f}(x)] \rightarrow g_{\theta_o}$, irreducible bias
- $\operatorname{var}(\hat{f}(x)) = O(1/n)$, dominated by bias

MISE does not converge to zero in limit of large sample size

Density estimation

Histogram estimators

Assume f(x) has support in $[0,1]^d$ and let $\{S_i\}$ be a uniform partition of $[0,1]^d$ into N cells each of volume 1/N.

Define $n_j = \sum_{i=1}^n I_{S_i}(X_i)$ the number of observations falling into cell S_i

The histogram density estimator is the peicewise constant function

$$\hat{f}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{n_j}{n|S_j|} I_{S_j}(x)$$


For large N:

$$\text{MISE} \approx \frac{N}{n} + N^{-2/d} c$$

 $c=\frac{1}{4}\int \mathrm{tr}\left(\nabla^2 f(x)\right)\,dx$

To ensure bounded MISE, assume \mathcal{F} is a set of smooth densities satisfying $c(f) \leq c_{max}$.

- Variance $\left(\frac{N}{n}\right)$ does not depend on f but bias $\left(N^{-2/d}c\right)$ does.
- Maximum MISE over $f \in \mathcal{F}$ is worst case MISE

$$\max_{f} \text{MISE} = \frac{N}{n} + N^{-2/d} c_{max}$$

• Worst case MISE has a bias vs variance tradeoff over N

Density estimation Histogram estimator (Proof)

Using

$$\hat{f}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{n_j}{n|S_j|} I_{S_j}(x), \quad E[\hat{f}(x)] = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{p_j}{|S_j|} I_{S_j}(x)$$

where $p_i = P(X_i \in S_i)$ and $|S_i| = 1/N$.

$$\begin{split} \text{MISE} &= \int \operatorname{var}(\hat{f}(x)) dx + \int (E[\hat{f}(x)] - f(x))^2 dx \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{S_j} \operatorname{var}(\hat{f}(x)) dx + \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{S_j} (E[\hat{f}(x)] - f(x))^2 dx \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{S_j} \frac{1}{|S_j|^2} \operatorname{var}\left(\frac{n_j}{n}\right) dx + \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{S_j} (p_j / |S_j| - f(x))^2 dx \end{split}$$

-

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ ヨ ト ・

Density estimation Histogram estimator (Proof (ctd))

$$\begin{split} \text{MISE} &= \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{|S_j|} \frac{1}{n} p_j (1-p_j) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \int_{S_j} \frac{1}{2} (x-x_j) \nabla^2 f(x_j) (x-x_j) dx \\ &= \frac{N}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{N} p_j (1-p_j) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left(\int_{S_j} (x-x_j)^T (x-x_j)^T dx \, \nabla^2 f(x_j) \right) \end{split}$$

Note: As S_i is a cube in \mathbb{R}^d with side $N^{-1/d}$

$$\int_{S_j} (x - x_j) (x - x_j)^T dx = N^{-2/d} \frac{|S_j|}{2} \mathbf{I}$$

and $\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_j (1 - p_j) = 1 + O(1/N)$

Density estimation Histogram estimator performance (Proof)

Therefore

MISE =
$$\frac{N}{n} + N^{-2/d} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \operatorname{tr} \left(\nabla^2 f(x_i) \right) \frac{1}{4N}$$

.∃ >

Again, for large N:

$$\text{MISE} \approx \frac{N}{n} + N^{-2/d} c$$

The histogram density estimator bias-variance tradeoff is optimized by choosing N increasing in n at optimal rate that minimizes maximum MISE.

Theorem: Define $N_{opt} = \operatorname{argmin}_N \max_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \text{MISE}$. Then $N_{opt} = (c_{max}n)^{\frac{d}{d+2}}$ and resulting minimax MISE is

$$\mathrm{M}ISE^* = \min_{N} \max_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \mathrm{M}ISE = an^{-\frac{2}{d+2}}$$

where $a = (2c_{max}/d)^{\frac{d}{d+2}} + c(2c_{max}/d)^{\frac{-2}{d+2}}$

Density estimation Plug-in entropy estimator performance (Theorem)

Recall form of plug-in entropy estimator

$$\hat{h} = h(\hat{f})$$

Define norm $\|\hat{f} - f\|^2 = \int (\hat{f} - f(x))^2 dx$.

Theorem: Assume

MISE-consistent \$\hfit{f}\$: \$\lim_{n \rightarrow \sigma} \int E[(\hfit{f}(x) - f(x))^2]dx = 0\$ (w.p.1)]
\$h(f) = \int \phi(f(x))dx\$ is a smooth functional of \$f\$
\$\int |\phi'(f(x))|^2dx < \infty\$

Then \hat{h} is a consistent estimator of entropy.

Furthermore, if minimax histogram estimator is used then for large n

$$E[(\hat{h}-h)^2] = bn^{-\frac{2}{d+2}}$$

Density estimation Plug-in entropy estimator performance (Proof)

We have

$$\hat{h} = h(\hat{f}) = h(f) + \int \phi'(f(x))(\hat{f}(x) - f(x))dx + O(\|\hat{f}(x) - f(x)\|)$$

By CS inequality

$$\left(\int \phi'(f(x))(\hat{f}(x)-f(x))dx\right)^2 \leq \int |\phi'(f(x))|^2 dx \int (\hat{f}(x)-f(x))^2 dx$$

which converges to zero as $n \to \infty$.

Recall that for the minimax histogram estimator

$$MISE^* = \int E[(\hat{f}^* - f)^2] = an^{-\frac{2}{d+2}}$$

which guarantees that MSE of \hat{h} will have the same rate,

Drawbacks of density estimation methods for entropy estimation

- Bandwidth selection $\sigma = N^{-1/d}$ may be difficult
- Datastructures for histograms are impractical in very high dimensions
- Convergence rate becomes logarithmic in N for large d

$$N^{-1/d} = \frac{d}{d + \log N} + O(1/d)$$

- May have few samples (fewer than dimensions) in some cases
- Density estimation in very high dimensions is fraught with difficulties

- L. Devroye and G. Lugosi, *Combinatorial methods in density estimation*, Springer, New York, 2001.
- Devroye:87, *A course in density estimation*, Birkhäuser-Verlag, Boston, 1987.
- D. Huffman, "A method for the construction of minimum-redundancy codes," *Proceedings of the IRE*, pp. 1098–1102, Sept. 1952.
- I. A. Ibragimov and R. Z. Has'minskii, *Statistical estimation: Asymptotic theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1981.
- J. Marron, P. Hall, and T. Hu, "Improved variable window estimators of probability density," *Annals of Statistics*, vol. 23, pp. 1–10, 1995.
- J. Rissanen, "A universal prior for the integers and estimation by minimum description length," *Ann. Statist.*, vol. 11, pp. 416–431, 1983.
- C. Vignat, A. Hero, and J. Costa, "About closedness by convolution of the tsallis maximizers," *Physica A*, vol. 340, no. 1-3, pp. 147–152, 2004.
- C. Wallace and D. Dowe, "Minimum message length and kolmogorov complexity," *The Computer*, vol. 42, no. 4, pp₂ 270–283, 1999. ₂ ∽<

A. Hero (Digiteo and Univ. Michigan)

Peyresque08 (Module 1)