Compressed sensing MR image reconstruction using data-driven tight frame

JIANPING HUANG^{1,2}, LIHUI WANG³, CHUNYU CHU¹, YANLI ZHANG¹, WANYU LIU^{*1,2}, YUEMIN ZHU^{1,2}

¹ HIT-INSA Sino French Research Centre for Biomedical Imaging, Harbin Institute of Technology
 ¹Harbin, Heilongjiang, 150001, China
 ² CREATIS, INSA Lyon
 ² CREATIS; CNRS UMR5220; Inserm U1044; INSA Lyon, University of Lyon
 ² Villeurbanne 69100, France
 ³ College of computer science and technology, Guizhou University
 ³ Huaxi District, 550025, Guiyang, China

jianping829@gmail.com, wlh1984@gmail.com, chuchunyu@hit.edu.cn yanli.zhang@hit.edu.cn, Liu wanyu@hit.edu.cn, zhu@creatis.insa-lyon.fr

R ésum é - L'acquisition comprim é (compressed sensing—CS) est une technique permettant de r éduire le temps d'acquisition en imagerie par r ésonance magn étique (IRM) en sous-échantillonnant l'espace k. La parcimonie ou la compressibilit é est la pr émisse fondamentale de l'acquisition comprim é. Des transformations pr éd éfinies telles que la transform é en ondelettes discr è, les framelets et la shearlet ont é e largement utilis és pour obtenir des repr ésentations parcimonieuses. Nous proposons une nouvelle m éthode de reconstruction des images IRM bas é sur CS à l'aide de la technique de data-driven tight frame (data-driven TF). La m éthode consiste à diviser une image IRM en patches, à apprendre une s érie de filtres en utilisant la technique de data-driven TF à partir de ces patches, et à reconstruire l'image finale à l'aide de la technique de Fast Iterative Shrinkage Thresholding Algorithm (FISTA) avec un sch éma it ératif. Les r ésultats montrent que la m éthode propos é convient à tous les sch émas d'échantillonnage de l'espace k et am éliore significativement la qualité de l'image par rapport aux m éthodes de reconstruction des images IRM existantes.

Abstract - Compressed sensing (CS) is a promising technique to reduce the acquisition time of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) by using under-sampled k-space data. Sparsity or compressibility is the fundamental premise of the compressed sensing. The predefined transforms, such as the discrete wavelet transform (DWT), the framelets and the shearlet, have been widely used to provide sparse representations. This paper proposes a new CS reconstruction method for MR images using a data-driven tight frame (data-driven TF). The method consists of dividing the MR image into overlapping patches, learning a set of filters forming the data-driven TF from these patches, and reconstructing the final image using the Fast Iterative Shrinkage Thresholding Algorithm (FISTA) with an iterative scheme. The results show that the proposed reconstruction method is suitable for different sampling schemes, including variable density and radial k-space sampling, and improves significantly the image quality compared with existing CS-MR image reconstruction techniques.

1 Introduction

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is a non-invasive medical imaging technique widely used in clinics. However, its imaging speed is limited by the amount of acquired data. Various approaches have been proposed to reduce the acquisition time of MRI as much as possible without degrading image quality [1, 2].

Recently, Compressed Sensing (CS) [3, 4] appeared as a new approach to reconstructing signals with high quality from significantly under-sampled data, and showed its great potential for accelerating MRI acquisitions [5, 6]. MR image reconstruction based on CS assumes that the image has a sparse representation in certain domain (pixel or transform domain). The total variation (TV) regularization and discrete wavelet transform (DWT) are widely used as sparsifying transforms for CS-MR image reconstruction [5, 7]. However, the TV regularization can cause blocking effect although the edges are preserved, and the traditional DWT fails to capture singularities in higher dimensional, such as edges and contours [8]. To overcome the disadvantages, multi-scale geometric analysis methods have been introduced into CS-MR image reconstruction, such as Contourlet [9], Framelets [10] and Shearlet [8].

Unlike the predefined transform, dictionary learning approaches learn an dictionary from the patches of a particular image or undersampled data itself for sparse image representation and have been shown to offer better reconstruction performance when applied to CS-MR image reconstruction [11]. Taking into account the similarity between image patches, nonlocal processing was introduced for image restoration, such as nonlocal total variation (NLTV) [12]. Instead of using patch as the basic unit of sparse representation, a patch-based nonlocal operator was introduced for CS-MR image reconstruction [13]. It exploits the nonlocal selfsimilarity of images, enabling this approach to achieve lower reconstruction error compared with the conventional CS-MRI reconstruction methods. In this study, we present a new CS reconstruction method for MR images by introducing the data-driven tight frame (data-driven TF) proposed in [14]. The method consists of dividing the MR image into overlapping patches, learning a set of filters forming the data-driven TF from these patches, and reconstructing the final image using the Fast Iterative Shrinkage Thresholding Algorithm (FISTA) with an iterative scheme.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. The proposed CS-MRI reconstruction method is detailed in Section 2. The experiments are presented in Section 3, followed by some conclusions in Section 4.

2 Theory and Algorithm

Suppose x is an MR image and F_u is a partial Fourier transform. The CS-MR image reconstruction problem is formulated as:

$$x = \arg\min_{x} \left\| F_{u}x - b \right\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \cdot R(x)$$
(1)

where *b* is the under-sampled measurements of the image *x* in k-space, R(x) is a regularizing functional that represents additional constraints according to some prior knowledge in order to find the optimal solution, and $\lambda > 0$ refers to a balancing parameter.

Instead of predefined transforms, we use the datadriven TF, which has been successfully used in [14] for image denoising problems, as sparsifying transforms for R(x). The data-driven TF aims to adaptively learn a set of filters from input data itself to sparsely represent data. Given an image x, a data-driven TF can be learned by solving the following minimization problem:

$$\min_{c,\Phi} \left\| c - \Phi x \right\|_{2}^{2} + \alpha \cdot \left\| c \right\|_{0}, \quad \text{subject to } \Phi^{T} \Phi = I \quad (2)$$

where Φ is the analysis operator and the rows of Φ form a tight frame, *c* is the coefficient vector that sparsely approximates the coefficients Φx , and $\alpha > 0$ is a regularization parameter. The algorithm for solving equation (2) is presented in Appendix A. More details about the data-driven TF can be found in [14].

By taking into account the above adaptive discrete tight frame, we reformulate the CS-MR image reconstruction method as:

$$x = \arg\min_{x} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|F_{u}x - b\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \cdot \|\Phi x\|_{1} \right\}$$
(3)

Let $f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \|F_u x - b\|_2^2$, which is a convex and smooth function with the Lipschitz constant *L*, and

 $g(x) = \lambda \cdot \|\Phi x\|_{l}$ denoting a regularizing functional. Equation (3) can be solved using the Fast Iterative Shrinkage Thresholding Algorithm (FISTA) introduced in [15]. Specifically, the problem can be solved by a proximal mapping operation:

$$prox_{\rho}(\phi)(x) = \arg\min\left\{\phi(u) + \frac{1}{2\rho} \|u - x\|_{2}^{2}\right\} \quad (4)$$

where ρ is the inverse of the Lipschitz constant L of

$$\nabla f$$
 and $\nabla f = \left(\frac{1}{2} \|F_u x - b\|_2^2\right) = F_u^T (F_u x - b)$ with F_u^T

indicating the inverse partial Fourier transform.

The proposed algorithm is outlined as follows.

INPUT:

K: the maximum number of iterations;

- n: the filter size of data-driven TF;
- λ : the regularization parameter;
- tol : the tolerance parameter.

INIT:
$$\rho = \frac{1}{L}, t^1 = 1, x^0 = r^1 = 0, k = 0;$$

OUTPUT:

x: the reconstructed image.

REPEAT: k = k + 1;

Generate the transform operator Φ^k from x^k according to Equation (2):

$$x_{g} = r^{k} - \rho \nabla f(r^{k});$$

$$x^{k} = prox_{\rho} \left(2\lambda \left\|\Phi^{k}x\right\|_{1}\right)(x_{g});$$

$$t^{k+1} = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4(t^{k})^{2}}}{2};$$

$$r^{k+1} = x^{k} + \frac{t^{k} - 1}{t^{k+1}}(x^{k} - x^{k-1});$$
UNTIL $k > K$ OR $\frac{\|x^{k-1} - x^{k}\|_{2}}{\|x^{k}\|_{1}} < tol$.

3 Experimental Results

To evaluate the performance of the proposed method, MR Coronal brain images of size 256×256 (Note: data from Ref. [7]) and two different sampling schemes (i.e. random variable density and radial sampling) are used (Figure 1). In Figure 1(b)-(f) are shown the k-space sampling masks, where the sample ratio in k-space is set to be approximately 15% (i.e. keeping 15% of the complete k-space data).



Figure 1: Reference MR image and k-space sampling masks with sampling rate of 15%. (a) Coronal brain MR image; (b) random variable density; (c) radial golden-angle; (d) randomly perturbed (c); (e) radial random sampling; (f) randomly perturbed (e).

To quantitatively compare different methods, three quantitative indexes, including the peak-signal-to-noise ratio (PSNR), relative 12 norm error (RLNE) and mean structural similarity (MSSIM) [16] are calculated.

The proposed method is also compared with three state of the art reconstruction methods used in CS-MRI: the FCSA [7], Shearlet+TGV [8] and PANOCS [13] methods.

The observation measurement *b* is corrupted by complex Gaussian white noise with an input SNR (ISNR). The associated ISNR is defined as ISNR = $20\log_{10}(\sigma_x/\sigma_n)$, where σ_x and σ_n denote the standard deviation of the original image and the noise, respectively. The ISNR is set to 30 dB, the filter size n = 4 and the regularization parameter λ is set as $0.095 \times \sigma_n$.

3.1 Visual Comparisons

The MR images reconstructed using different methods are shown in Figure 2. Visually, the proposed method yielded better image quality than other three methods.



Figure 2: Results of reconstruction on Coronal brain MR images using sampling scheme in Figure 1 (b) with 15% sampling. Image reconstructed using (a) FCSA [7]; (b) Shearlet+TGV [8]; (c) PANOCS [13] and (d) Proposed.

The quantitative assessment between the four methods is given in Tab 1. It can be seen that the proposed method exhibits better reconstruction quality than other three methods, by yielding higher PSNRs, smaller RLNEs, and greater MSSIMs.

Tab 1 : Comparison of different reconstruction methods in terms of PSNR, RLNE and MSSIM using the sampling scheme in Figure 1(b) with 15% sampling.

Method	PSNR	RLNE	MSSIM
FCSA	26.07	0.14	0.84
Shearlet+TGV	27.21	0.13	0.87
PANOCS	26.16	0.14	0.82
Proposed	28.01	0.11	0.89

3.2 Effects of Sampling Rates

Figure 3 gives the performance comparison between the four methods using different sampling schemes with sampling rates of 10%~50%. It can be seen that the performance of our method is almost always better than other three methods, which implies that with the same image reconstruction quality, the proposed method requires only fewer samples and therefore allows reducing acquisition time significantly.



Figure 3: Performance comparisons (RLNE vs. different sampling rates) using different sampling schemes: (a) random variable density; (b) radial golden-angle; (c) radial random sampling.

4 Conclusion

We have proposed a new method for CS-MR image reconstruction using a data-driven tight frame (datadriven TF). The latter provides a better sparse approximation of MR images, which has allowed us to achieve better reconstruction performance. The involved optimization problem is efficiently solved by a firstorder fast method. The results demonstrated that the proposed method can be applied to different sampling schemes and improves the reconstruction quality compared to state of the art CS-MRI reconstruction methods.

Appendix A

The algorithm for solving equation (2) is found below.

INPUT: An image x.

INIT: Initialize tight frame filter $\left\{\phi_i^0\right\}_{i=1}^{n^2}$.

OUTPUT: The tight frame Φ defined by filters $\left\{\phi_i^K\right\}_{i=1}^{n^2}$. **REPEAT**

k = k + 1;

(1) Fix framelet filters $\{\phi_i^k\}_{i=1}^{n^2}$, update the framelet coefficient c^{k+1} :

$$c^{k+1} = \arg\min_{c} \alpha \|c\|_{0} + \frac{1}{2} \|d - \Phi^{k} x\|_{2}^{2}$$
(A-1)

(2) Fix the framelet coefficient c^{k+1} , update the framelet filter $\{\phi_i^{k+1}\}_{i=1}^{n^2}$

 $\left\{\phi_{i}^{k+1}\right\}_{i=1}^{n^{2}} = \arg\min_{\left\{\phi_{i}\right\}_{i}^{n^{2}}} \left\|c^{k+1} - \Phi x\right\|_{2}^{2}$ s.t. $\Phi^{T} \Phi = I$ (A-2)

UNTIL k > K.

Acknowledgments

Research conducted in the scope of CNRS the International Associated Laboratory, LIA Metislab. This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 61271092), the applied technology research and development program of Heilongjiang Province (no. GC13A311), the Inter-Government S&T Cooperation Project between P.R.China and Romania (no. 40-20), the Program PHC-Cai Yuanpei 2012, and the French ANR under ANR-13-MONU-0009-01.

References

- [1] M. Blaimer, F. Breuer, M. Mueller, R. M. Heidemann, M. A. Griswold, and P. M. Jakob, "SMASH, SENSE, PILS, GRAPPA: how to choose the optimal method," Topics in magnetic resonance imaging : TMRI, vol. 15, pp. 223-36, 2004-Aug 2004.
- [2] J. Tsao and S. Kozerke, "MRI temporal acceleration techniques," Journal of magnetic resonance imaging : JMRI, vol. 36, pp. 543-60, 2012-Sep 2012.
- [3] D. L. Donoho, "Compressed sensing," IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, vol. 52, pp. 1289-1306, Apr 2006.
- [4] E. J. Candes, J. Romberg, and T. Tao, "Robust uncertainty principles: Exact signal reconstruction from highly incomplete frequency information,"

IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, vol. 52, pp. 489-509, Feb 2006.

- [5] M. Lustig, D. Donoho, and J. M. Pauly, "Sparse MRI: The application of compressed sensing for rapid MR imaging," Magnetic Resonance in Medicine, vol. 58, pp. 1182-1195, Dec 2007.
- [6] M. Lustig, D. L. Donoho, J. M. Santos, and J. M. Pauly, "Compressed sensing MRI," IEEE Signal Processing Magazine, vol. 25, pp. 72-82, Mar 2008.
- [7] J. Huang, S. Zhang, and D. Metaxas, "Efficient MR image reconstruction for compressed MR imaging," Medical Image Analysis, vol. 15, pp. 670-679, Oct 2011.
- [8] W. Guo, J. Qin, and W. Yin, "A New Detail-Preserving Regularization Scheme," SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences, vol. 7, pp. 1309-1334, 2014 2014.
- [9] X. Qu, W. Zhang, D. Guo, C. Cai, S. Cai, and Z. Chen, "Iterative thresholding compressed sensing MRI based on contourlet transform," Inverse Problems in Science and Engineering, vol. 18, pp. 737-758, 2010 2010.
- [10] V. P. Gopi, P. Palanisamy, K. A. Wahid, and P. Babyn, "MR image reconstruction based on framelets and nonlocal total variation using split Bregman method," International Journal of Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery, vol. 9, pp. 459-472, May 2014.
- [11] S. Ravishankar and Y. Bresler, "MR Image Reconstruction From Highly Undersampled k-Space Data by Dictionary Learning," IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, vol. 30, pp. 1028-1041, May 2011.
- [12] D. Liang, H. Wang, Y. Chang, and L. Ying, "Sensitivity Encoding Reconstruction With Nonlocal Total Variation Regularization," Magnetic Resonance in Medicine, vol. 65, pp. 1384-1392, May 2011.
- [13] X. Qu, Y. Hou, F. Lam, D. Guo, J. Zhong, and Z. Chen, "Magnetic resonance image reconstruction from undersampled measurements using a patchbased nonlocal operator," Medical Image Analysis, vol. 18, pp. 843-856, Aug 2014.
- [14] J.-F. Cai, H. Ji, Z. Shen, and G.-B. Ye, "Datadriven tight frame construction and image denoising," Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis, vol. 37, pp. 89-105, Jul 2014.
- [15] A. Beck and M. Teboulle, "A Fast Iterative Shrinkage-Thresholding Algorithm for Linear Inverse Problems," SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences, vol. 2, pp. 183-202, 2009 2009.
- [16] Z. Wang, A. C. Bovik, H. R. Sheikh, and E. P. Simoncelli, "Image quality assessment: From error visibility to structural similarity," IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, vol. 13, pp. 600-612, Apr 2004.